

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/burns

The role of concomitant alcohol and drug use in increased risk for burn mortality outcomes



711

Rajen Govender^{*a*,*}, Nancy Hornsby^{*b*}, David Kimemia^{*a*,*b*}, Ashley Van Niekerk^{*a*,*b*}

^a Institute for Social and Health Sciences, University of South Africa, Johannesburg, South Africa ^b Violence, Injury and Peace Research Unit, South African Medical Research Council and University of South Africa, Tygerberg, South Africa

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Burn injuries Mortality risk Morbidity risk Alcohol and drug use

ABSTRACT

Background: Burn injuries are a major cause of mortality and morbidity in low- and middleincome countries, with high rates in Sub-Saharan Africa. The risks may be heightened for persons who present with concomitant use of alcohol and illicit substances, which increase the risk for injury and severely compromise prognosis following injury.

Methods: This study utilised a national dataset on hospitalised burns in South Africa to explore the risk for mortality relative to morbidity. To assess the influence of alcohol and drugs in mortality outcomes, the analysis was restricted to adult cases, 18 years and older (N = 918). The primary statistical procedures used in the analysis were logistic regression models.

Findings: The results indicate that burn victims with full thickness and partial thickness burn degree and more than 30% TBSA had a significantly increased risk of mortality. In addition, the risk for mortality was increased ten times when concomitant alcohol and drugs were indicated compared to cases where these were absent. The length of stay in hospital diminished the risk for mortality by about 10%.

Interpretation: The findings may be explained by the role of skin as the main barrier against infections and the concurrent increase in risk of infection based on the degree and extent of any damage. The combined presence of both alcohol and drugs may predispose towards more severe burns and greatly compromise liver function with heightened risk for sepsis and death. © 2019 Elsevier Ltd and ISBI. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

1.1. Alcohol and drug intoxication and risk for burn injury

Burn injuries are a major cause of death and disability injury worldwide, accounting for almost 180,000 fatalities annually [1]. Low-to-middle-income countries (LMICs) carry the bulk of this burden [2] with almost two thirds of all burn injuries occurring in the African and South-East Asia regions [1]. When substance use and binge-drinking are involved, the risk for sustaining injury, including burn injury is increased because of impaired judgement, lack of inhibitory control and risk-taking behaviour [3]. The high-risk hypothesis has been proposed as a mechanism through which individuals are more likely to engage in unsafe behaviours, thereby increasing their risk for trauma exposure [3].

South Africa is characterised by high prevalence of harmful and hazardous use of alcohol [4], alongside high

* Corresponding author at: Department of Sociology, University of Cape Town, Private Bag X3, Rondebosch, 7701, South Africa. E-mail address: govender@iafrica.com (R. Govender).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2019.11.002

^{0305-4179/© 2019} Elsevier Ltd and ISBI. All rights reserved.

consumption of illicit drugs [5]. Almost 40% of adults presenting with unintentional burns at a tertiary hospital in Bloemfontein, South Africa, reported the consumption of alcohol at the time of the incident [6]. Increasing levels of OTC addiction have been reported in recent years, especially the misuse of codeine-based drugs [7], with concurrent use of codeine and alcohol becoming increasingly problematic [7]. In addition to heightening risk for burn injury, burn injuries when alcohol and drugs are indicated tend to be more severe [8].

1.2. Outcomes after burns in the presence of either alcohol or drugs

The presence of either alcohol or drugs at the time of burn injury complicates the physiological recovery process and response to treatment, leading to increased risk for mortality. Alcohol presence at time of burn injury commonly results in pulmonary infection and liver damage, leading to depressed immunology and increased risk of sepsis, factors which interact negatively with the recovery process [9]. Resuscitation is more complicated for intoxicated individuals [8]. In the United States, alcohol use is indicated in over 50% of patients dying from burn injuries [10], while South African data for burn fatalities report high blood alcohol levels in 64.6% of males and 60.6% of females [11].

Unlike for alcohol, evidence examining the impact of drug use on burn mortality risk is conflicting. The risk for burn mortality was shown to be increased among poly-drug users compared to single-drug users [12]. Conversely, drug use was not found to impact mortality or the need for specialised care after burn trauma [13].

There is a paucity of research examining the concomitant use of alcohol and drugs in the context of burn injuries, and the role of both alcohol and drugs in immunomodulation and clinical outcomes [14–19]. The concurrent misuse of alcohol and drugs have been linked to higher incidences of bacteraemia and sepsis following burn injury compared to alcohol or drugs alone [14]. Though limited, the evidence does suggest a compound effect of alcohol and drug use on suppressing immunological responses and diminishing burn clinical outcomes.

1.3. Treatment factors and burn mortality outcomes

A delay in obtaining hospital treatment for burn victims is a major contributing factor to increased risk for contamination, infection, and death [20]. Risk for infection, blood loss, and mortality increase when essential antimicrobials and burn wound dressings are not promptly administered [20] and excision and grafting of the burn wound is delayed beyond 48 h of burn injury [20].

Studies suggest that longer length of stay (LOS) in hospital improves morbidity relative to mortality outcomes even in more severe cases [21]. This effect is amplified in the presence of specialised burn-care facilities, which assist in stabilizing the patient, preventing infection, and optimizing functional recovery [22].

1.4. Burn injury characteristics as predictors of mortality

Amongst the most robust predictors of mortality in burn injury are the burn injury characteristics. One of these is Total Body Surface Area (TBSA) covered by the burn [22]. Generally, burn trauma occurring over 20% TBSA or more leads to acute systemic physiological responses (burn shock) [22], while burn injury of 30% TBSA or more heightens the risk for mortality [9]. The second key criterion is the extent of thermal burn (degree and depth), with greater depth and degree of burn predictive of higher mortality outcomes [22].

The third criterion relates to the major type of burn injury, i.e. flame burn versus scalding. Flame burns are the leading causes of burn injury globally [23] and in South Africa [6]. Flame burn injuries have been associated with greater severity, greater body surface area and a higher risk for mortality [24].

1.5. Age and gender as predictors of burn mortality

Older persons have the highest risk of suffering a mortality outcome after burns [25]. This vulnerability is derived from the greater physiological impact as a result of diminished immunity and recuperative capacity [26], with poor tolerance for even small, shallow burns [27].

Gender differences in burn mortality vary considerably across and within regions and countries [26]. In HICs, male mortality rates are twice that of females in the 15–59 years age group, while the converse is observed in LMICs, especially in Southeast Asia and the Eastern Mediterranean [26]. In South Africa, Cape Town has reported the highest rates of burn mortality amongst adult males, nearly three times that of women [11].

The primary objective of this research was to examine the role of different burn injury characteristics, patient demographics, treatment variables and presence of alcohol and substance abuse in differentiating the risk of mortality relative to morbidity.

2. Methods

2.1. Primary data collection

This register-based study uses secondary data related to burn injuries for the period May 2006 to November 2012. Primary data was collected from 19 South African hospitals servicing primarily densely populated low-income settlements situated nearby major metropolitan areas in eight of the nine South African provinces. The data was collected as part of a larger study on household energy-related mortality and morbidity conducted by the Household Energy and Safety Association of South Africa (HESASA) [28].

Case records per admission included details on the cause, type and circumstances associated with admission and treatment for burn injuries. Additional data was collected through interviews with patients or caregivers at the time of admission, with all interviews conducted in the participant's first language. No names or any form of personal information were used that could identify participants. Due to low literacy levels amongst the participants, verbal informed consent was obtained. The study received clearance from the Health Research Ethics Committee (HREC) of the South African Medical Research Council.

2.2. Sample

The original dataset contains over 12 079 cases. Given the focus on alcohol and substance use, only adults 18 years and older were selected for the analysis, comprising less than 4000 cases. Of these, close to 1000 had complete data for all relevant analysis variables. This realised a final analysis sample of 918 cases.

2.3. Analysis

Descriptive statistics and logistic regression analysis were used to examine key sample characteristics and risk for mortality across a series of control and key explanatory factors. Analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25, with a $p \le 0.05$ significance level.

2.4. Outcome and explanatory variables

The outcome variable for the study was the occurrence of mortality as compared to morbidity. The following explanatory variables were employed:

Burn Injury Type — Burn injury categories were aligned to ICD-9 convention. Only external burns were considered due to attendant information on burn severity and extent of injury. The two predominant types were flame burns and scalds, with all other external burns excluded (less than 1% of sample).

Burn Degree — following general clinical convention, burn degree was differentiated into three categories in order of severity: superficial affecting only the epidermis, partial thickness affecting the epidermis and part of the dermis, and full thickness involving the epidermis, dermis and subcutaneous tissue [29].

Total Body Surface Area — TBSA was differentiated into two categories: less than 30% TBSA, and TBSA equal to or more than 30% [22]. The separation of TBSA into these two categories was due to mortality being the outcome of interest and the increased risk of mortality associated with TBSA of >30% [9].

Treatment Delay — the delay in days between occurrence of the burn injury and presentation of the patient at the hospital.

Length of Hospital Stay — the total number of days spent in hospital, in both specialised care and general wards.

Presence of Alcohol and Drugs: the presence of alcohol and drugs as reported or observed at admission. The variable comprised three categories: neither alcohol nor drugs, either alcohol or drugs, and both alcohol and drugs. Measurement of drugs included both illicit and OTC drugs.

Gender and age cohort were entered into the analysis as control variables.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptives

The average age of the sample was 36.4 years, with 55.2% being male. Scalds accounted for 3 in five burn injuries (59.1%). Most

burn injuries were superficial (79.4%), with 9.7% recorded as full thickness. Nine in ten cases presented with TBSA of less than 30% (91.3%). The average delay in seeking treatment was 0.6 days, while average length of stay in hospital was 7.5 days. Alcohol or drugs were mostly absent (84.4%), and concomitant presence recorded in only 3.3% of cases. A mortality outcome was recorded for 3.8% of cases. Further differentiation of the key analysis variables by category of alcohol/drug use is provided (see Table 1).

3.2. Logistic regression analysis

Logistic regression modelling was performed in a sequential manner, generating and testing four models with new explanatory variables entered at each stage of progression.

Given the sequential nature of the logistic regression analysis, the four models tested are reviewed as a single set of results. The first model will be overlooked as it contains the control variables. Model 2, which introduces three burn injury variables, is statistically significant (χ^2 = 36.9, p = 0.00). The nature of the burn injury (i.e. flame burn or scalds) does not significantly differentiate risk for mortality, while both burn injury clinical characteristics are significant. Mortality risk is four times greater for full thickness burn degree when compared to superficial burns (OR = 4.01, CI: 1.20–13.54), with no difference across superficial and partial thickness burns. Persons suffering burn injuries greater than 30% of TBSA are six times more likely to suffer mortality outcomes (OR = 6.58, CI: 2.23–19.39).

Model 3, which introduces the treatment delay and length of stay (LOS) variables, is also statistically significant (χ^2 = 49.6, p = 0.00). Of these, only LOS is significant (OR = 0.89, CI: 0.81 –0.99), indicating that patients remaining longer in hospital have an approximately 10% lower risk for mortality (Table 2).

The final model, incorporating alcohol and drugs, is shown to be significant (χ^2 = 63.1, p = 0.00). When the effect for alcohol and drugs is controlled for, the risk for mortality increases for both full thickness (OR = 7.67, CI: 1.98–29.66) and partial thickness burn degree (OR = 4.15, CI: 1.19–14.50) in comparison to superficial burns, and for TBSA of 30% of greater (OR = 4.56, CI: 1.37–15.17). Secondly, LOS is associated with diminished mortality outcomes by 10%. Thirdly, and most importantly, when the injury clinical characteristics and treatment variables are accounted for, patients for whom both alcohol and drugs are indicated are ten times more likely to suffer mortality outcomes as compared to those for whom neither alcohol nor drugs is present (OR: 10.60, CI: 3.50–32.11), while the presence of either is not significant.

Taken together, the model testing indicates that burn victims with (1) full thickness or partial thickness burn degree (as compared to superficial), (2) burn injury over more than 30% of the total body surface area rather than less, and (3), where alcohol and drugs are both indicated rather than entirely absent, are at a substantially higher overall risk for mortality outcomes as compared to all other burn victims.

4. Discussion

The overall objective of this study was to assess several key clinical and treatment risk factors for differentiating risk of

	No alcohol or drugs 776 (84.5%)		Either alco 112 (12.2%	hol or drugs)	Both alcohol and drugs 30 (3.3%)		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Gender							
Females	363	46.8	36	32.1	12	40.0	
Males	413	53.2	76	67.8	18	60.0	
Mean age (SD)	36.77 (15.1)		34.98 (11.9)		32.4 (7.5)		
Age							
18–29 years	307	39.6	43	38.4	12	60.0	
30–54 years	375	48.3	61	54.6	18	40.0	
55 + years	94	12.1	7	7.1	-	-	
Burn injury type							
Flame burns	311	40.1	49	43.8	13	43.3	
Scalds	465	59.9	63	56.3	17	56.7	
Burn degree							
Full Thickness	76	9.8	11	9.8	2	6.7	
Partial Thickness	76	9.8	18	16.1	2	6.7	
Superficial layer	624	80.4	83	74.1	26	86.7	
Total body surface area							
>30%	63	8.4	11	9.8	3	10.0	
<30%	687	88.5	99	88.4	26	86.7	
Mean length of stay (SD)	6.75 (16.5)		13.64 (2	7.1)	5.08 (18.2)		
Mean treatment delay (SD)	0.42 (1.1)		0.46 (1.3	.)	0.16 (0.6)		
Outcome							
Morbidity	750	96.6	109	97.3	24	80.0	
Mortality	26	3.4	3	2.7	6	20.0	

mortality versus morbidity outcomes in an adult burn population. The current findings show that with respect to burn injury characteristics, the severity and extent of the burn injury are more important explanatory factors in mortality than the type of burn injury (i.e. flame or scalds). Consistent with established literature, risk for mortality increases concomitantly with greater severity (or burn degree) and larger extent (or TBSA) of burn [22,24].

In terms of treatment variables, it was observed that LOS has a significant effect on differentiating mortality from morbidity. Generally, length of stay in hospital is strongly positively associated with improved survival. This is due to improved prospects for survival based on care received, but also because patients with better chances of survival tend to stay longer in hospital. This is consistent with available literature [21]. Ideally, LOS should be primarily dependent on clinical factors; however, optimal length of hospital stay is often impacted by non-clinical factors. Facility constraints (absence of specialised burn units, limited bed capacity and lack of skilled personnel) in under- or unevenly resourced countries such as South Africa [30] may disproportionately increase the impact of non-clinical factors in determining LOS, and generally to the detriment of the patient. As a result, in some instances public hospitals may to resort to early discharge of hospitalised burn patients but for reasons of resource constraints rather than improved burn treatment. Additionally, in other instances, patients with small burns may have prolonged hospital stay and delayed grafting due to lack of such resources [31]. Hence the effect for LOS on mortality as observed in this study may arguably be much lower than it should be.

Results from this study have demonstrated the combined presence of alcohol and drugs as a major mortality risk factor following burn injury. Individuals presenting with both alcohol and drugs were over ten times more likely to die as a result of their burn injuries when compared to individuals for whom neither alcohol or drug use was reported. Though limited, research has demonstrated the adverse effect of both alcohol and drugs on burn outcomes [14–19]. A larger body of evidence exists for research focusing on either alcohol or drugs alone, and not on the concomitant use.

In contrast to existing evidence, either alcohol or drugs alone was not found to be a key contributing factor to burn mortality However, the combined effect for both alcohol and drugs is quite pronounced. In the field of general infectious diseases, alcohol and drug use have been shown to have a strong association to immunosuppression, leading to increased vulnerability to infections [32], which in the case of burn injury may contribute towards mortality risk.

Mortality risk	Model 1: demographic variables $ \frac{\chi^2 = 2.4, p = 0.49}{n = 918} $		Model 2: model 1 + burn injury Variables $\chi^2 = 36.9, p = 0.00$ n = 889		Model 3: model 2 + treatment variables $\chi^2 = 49.6, p = 0.00$ n = 883		$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{Model 4:} \\ \text{model 3+alcohol/} \\ \text{drugs} \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \chi^2 = 63 \cdot 1, \ p = 0 \cdot 00 \\ \hline \\ n = 883 \end{array}$									
									O.R.	95% C.I.	O.R.	95% C.I.	0.R.	95% C.I.	0.R.	95% C.I.
									Female Male	1·65 —	0.83-3.30	1.82	0.88-3.73	1.79	0.86-3.27	1.85
	55 years and older 30–54 years	1·26 1·07	0·4 –3·64 0·51–2·28	0∙59 0∙98	0·2 –2·33 0·47–2·17	0·72 1·02	0·22–2·38 0·47–2·23	0·83 0·99	0·24–2·83 0·45–2·22							
18–29 years	-	-	0.50	01/21/	102	0 2 20	0.55	0 10 2 22								
Flame Burns Scalds			0.41	0.15-1.10	0.44	0.16-1.22	0.40	0.14-1.13								
Burn degree: full thickness			4·01*	1.20-13.54	5.88**	1.58-21.91	7.67**	1.98-29.66								
Burn degree: partial thickness Burn degree: superficial			2.26	0.75-6.80	3.49*	1.03-11.84	4·15*	1.19-14.50								
More than 30% TBSA Less than 30% TBSA			6.58**	2.23-19.39	4.68**	1.46–14.99	4 ·56*	1.37–15.17								
Treatment delay					1.01	0.99-1.02	0.99	0.99-1.01								
Length of Stay in hospital					0.89*	0.81-0.99	0.90*	0.81-0.99								
Both alcohol and drugs Either alcohol or drugs Neither alcohol nor drugs							10·60** 0·81	3·50-32·11 0·22-3·00								

The results for the combined presence of alcohol and drugs in burn victims have specific relevance to South Africa. Alcohol and drug prevalence are high [33] and underpinned by socially sanctioned risky or binge drinking reported by a quarter of the male population [34]. The country also records high rates of abuse of over the counter medication, with nearly 20% of the population reporting problematic codeine use [33]. There is furthermore the widespread use of open flames for the everyday cooking of food, using flammable hydrocarbons or biomass [35]. While most households in South Africa use electricity for everyday cooking, an estimated 34% use either paraffin or wood [35]. This is supplemented by outdoor open flame cooking practices, such as barbecues, which are common and often the centre of recreational and social interactions across socio-economic strata in the country [36]. The risks associated with greater use of open flames are compounded by the use of propellants or accelerants to start and maintain fires [36]. When alcohol and substance abuse are accounted for, this is likely to heighten the exposure of adult South Africans to severe burn trauma [33].

Finally, there are institutional factors which have relevance. Despite considerable policy reform and institutional transformation in the post-1994 democratic era, the national public health infrastructure and system remains beset by considerable constraints and challenges. Approximately 23% of the population report affordability constraints for medical care, with about 73% of that proportion being due to travel costs [37]. Given this scenario, poor burn victims located on the margins of big cities or rural areas have difficulties accessing prompt and specialised treatment. The high (20%) in-hospital mortality in South Africa's burn centres is not only a factor of severity and pre-existing conditions [38] but also influenced by the quality of healthcare services [37], with public health facilities significantly under resourced compared to the private health system. Consequently, for most burn victims there exists the reality of limited specialized burn treatment capacity, the high demand on trauma facilities, and the absence of specific screening for high blood alcohol and substance markers, all of which constrain the prospects of successful burn injury recovery, especially in severe cases.

5. Strengths and limitations

This study benefits from the large sample data which is rare, costly and complex to collect on this subject matter. The data covers eight of the nine provinces in the country, providing good national coverage though with a bias towards facilities situated close to major urban and metropolitan centres. There is variance across the facilities in terms of level of specialised care provided, which would impact risk of mortality based on duration of hospital stay. The final sample chosen for analysis was impacted by incomplete data (in some instances due to incomplete interviews and/or patient administrative records) which may reflect variable resources which correlate with differential risk outcomes. The presence of alcohol and/or drugs was based on reporting and/or observation at time of admissions and did not include information on prior history of use/abuse or related biomarker testing at admission or during hospitalisation. This indicates lack of data on amount of alcohol and drugs ingested over time or at admission, which relate to level of toxicity which may impact mortality risk. The approach could also have led to under- or over-recording of alcohol and drug cases. The set of burn admissions involving both alcohol and drugs may represent a distinct high-risk subpopulation and hence findings are not always generalisable to the general population. However, the presence of such a highrisk category in the general population is important as it has considerable implications for the burden to the health system.

6. Recommendations

This study motivates several key recommendations regarding the risk for burn exposure and mortality risk following burn injury. Firstly, in terms of prevention, there is a need for improved focus by targeting of messages in the presence of specific contextual risk factors for burn exposure. In South Africa this would relate jointly to the high prevalence of alcohol and drug use, the greater use of open flames for routine and recreational purposes, and the combustion fuels and accelerants used for these purposes. This recommendation would extend to other similar contexts in LMICs subject to their specific conditions. In terms of treatment, the study highlights the need for improved screening for alcohol and drugs at admission for burn injury, to ensure that patients at greatest risk are triaged into higher levels of burn care as soon as possible, thereby improving overall prognosis and diminishing mortality risk. In contexts such as South Africa, this could potentially diminish the burden imposed on the under-resourced publicly funded health system by ensuring that scarce burn facilities are able to accommodate greater patient admission and discharges.

Author contributions

Rajen Govender: conceptualisation, data analysis design, data analysis, write-up, review, final approval.

David Kimemia: conceptualisation, write-up, review, final approval.

Nancy Hornsby: data preparation, data analysis, write-up, review, final approval.

Ashley Van Niekerk: conceptualisation, write-up, review, final approval.

Funding

The research team received institutional support from the South African Medical Research Council (SAMRC) and University of South Africa (UNISA).

Declaration of interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the South African Medical Research Council and the University of South Africa for the funding and institutional support provided.

REFERENCES

- [1] WHO. Burns fact sheet. 2018.
- [2] Ready FL, Gebremedhem YD, Worku M, Mehta K, Esthe M, Goldenmerry YL, et al. Epidemiologic shifts for burn injury in Ethiopia from 2001 to 2016: implications for public health measures. Burns 2014;44:1839–43.
- [3] Haller M, Chassin L. Risk pathways among traumatic stress, posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms, and alcohol and drug problems: a test of four hypotheses. Psychol Addict Behav 2014;28(3):841–51.
- [4] Vellios NG, van Walbeek CP. Self-reported alcohol use and binge drinking in South Africa: evidence from the National Income Dynamics Study, 2014–2015. S Afr Med J 2018;108(1):33–9.
- [5] Peltzer K, Phaswana-Mafuya N. Drug use among youth and adults in a population-based survey in South Africa. South Afr J Psychiatry 201824(0), doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/ sajpsychiatry.v24i0.1139 p. a1139.
- [6] Daffue B, Moolman D, Ferreira S, Roos L, Schoeman L, Smit SJ, et al. The causes of burn wounds among adult patients treated at Pelonomi Tertiary Hospital, Bloemfontein, South Africa. South Afr J Surg 2018;6(3):31–6.
- [7] Dada S, Harker Burnhams N, Van Hout MC, Parry CD. Codeine misuse and dependence in South Africa — learning from substance abuse treatment admissions. S Afr Med J 2015;105 (9):776–9.
- [8] Silver GM, Albright JM, Schermer CR, Halerz M, Conrad P, Ackerman PD, et al. Adverse clinical outcomes associated with elevated blood alcohol levels at the time of burn injury. J Burn Care Res 2008;29(5):784–9.
- [9] Chen MM, Carter CR, Curtis BJ, O'Halloran EB, Gamelli RL, Kovacs EJ. Alcohol modulation of the post burn hepatic response. J Burn Care Res 2017;38(1):e144–57.
- [10] Davis CS, Esposito TJ, Palladino-Davis AG, Rychlik K, Schermer CR, Gamelli RL, et al. Implications of alcohol intoxication at the time of burn and smoke inhalation injury: an epidemiological and clinical analysis. J Burn Care Res 2013;34(1):120–6.
- [11] Van Niekerk A, Laubscher R, Laflamme L. Demographic and circumstantial accounts of fatal burn injuries in Cape Town: a register based cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 20099;, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-374.
- [12] Maghsoudi H, Raghifar R. An aetiolgical survey of burns in abusers of various kinds of drugs admitted to the Tabriz Sina hospital burns ward in Iran. Anal Burns Fire Disaster 2010; XXIII(4):186–93.
- [13] Hodgman EI, Subramanian M, Wolf SE, Arnoldo BD, Phelan HA, Cripps MW, et al. The impact of illicit drug use on outcomes following burn injury. J Burn Care Res 2017;38(1):e89–94.
- [14] Rehou S, Mason S, MacDonald J, Pinto R, Jeschke MG. The influence of substance misuse on clinical outcomes following burn. Burns 2017;43:1493–8.
- [15] Klifto KM, Quiroga L, Hultman CS. Substance use and inhalation injury in adult burn patients: retrospective study of

the impact on outcomes. Burns Trauma 2019;7:15, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s41038-019-0152-5.

- [16] Bennett SPH, Trickett RW, Potokar TS. Inhalation injury associated with smoking, alcohol and drug abuse: an increasing problem. Burns 2009;35:882–7, doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.burns.2008.11.015.
- [17] McGill V, Kowal-Vern A, Fisher SG, Kahn S, Gamelli RL. The impact of substance use on mortality and morbidity from thermal injury. J Trauma Inj Infect Crit Care 1995;38(6):931–4.
- [18] Haum A, Perbix W, Häck HJ, Stark GB, Spilker G, Doehn M. Alcohol and drug abuse in burn injuries. Burns 1995;21(3):194 –9, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0305-4179(95)80008-c.
- [19] Grobmeyr SR, Maniscalco SP, Purdue GF, Hunt JL. Alcohol, drug intoxication, or both at the time of burn injury as a predictor of complications and mortality in hospitalized patients with burns. J Burn Care Rehabil 1996;17(6):532–9, doi:http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/00004630-199611000-00010.
- [20] Hidalgo F, Mas D, Rubio M, Garcia-Hierro P. Infections in critically ill burn patients. Med Intensiva 2016;40(3):179–85.
- [21] Wardhana A, Basuki A, Prameswarab AD, Rizkita DN, Andarie AA, Canintika AF. The epidemiology of burns in Indonesia's national referral burn center from 2013 to 2015. Burn Open 2017;1:67–73.
- [22] Rowan MP, Cancio LC, Elster EA, Burmeister DM, Rose LF, Natesan S, et al. Burn wound healing and treatment: review and advancements. Crit Care 201519:, doi:http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1186/s13054-015-0961-2.
- [23] Schaefer TJ, Szymanski KD. StatPearls. Burn evaluation and management. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK430741/. (Accessed 12 April 2019).
- [24] Fazeli S, Karami-Matin R, Kakaei N, Pourghorban S, Safari-Faramani R, Safari-Faramani B. Predictve factors of mortality in burn patients. Trauma Mon 201419(1), doi:http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5812/traumamon.14480.
- [25] Taylor SL, Lawless M, Curri T, Sen S, Greenhalgh DG, Palmiery TL. Predicting mortality from burns: the need for age-group specific models. Burns 2014;40(6):1106–15.

- [26] Peck MD. Epidemiology of burns throughout the world. Part I: distribution and risk factors. Burns 2011;37(7):1087–100.
- [27] Alden NE, Bessey PQ, Rabbits A, Hyden PJ, Yurt RW. Tap water scalds among seniors and the elderly: socioeconomics and implications for prevention. Burns 2007;33(5):666–9.
- [28] Gevaart-Durkin A, Swart D, Chowdhury. A study of energyrelated injuries from hospital admissions among children and adults in South Africa. Burns 2014;40:1209–18.
- [29] Classification of burns. https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/ encyclopedia/content.aspx? ContentTypeID=90&ContentID=P09575 (Accessed 8 April 2019).
- [30] Allorto NL, Wall S, Clarke DL. Burn Open 2018;2:188–92.
- [31] Allorto NL, Oosthuizen V, Clarke DL, Muckart DJ. Burns 2009;35 (7):1004–8.
- [32] Friedman H, Pross S, Klein TW. Addictive drugs and their relationship with infectious diseases. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2006;47:330–42.
- [33] National Department of Health, Statistics South Africa, South African Medical Research Council, ICF. South Africa demographic and health survey. Pretoria; 2016 2019.
- [34] Peltzer K, Davids A, Njuho P. Alcohol use and problem drinking in South Africa: findings from a national population-based survey. Afr J Psychiatry 2011;14:30–7.
- [35] Albertyn R, Rode H, Millar AJ, Peck MD. The domestication of fire: the relationship between biomass fuel, fossil fuel and burns. Burns 2012;38:790–5.
- [36] Cahill TJ, Rode H, Millar AJ. Ashes to ashes: thermal contact burns in children caused by recreational fires. Burns 2008;34:1153–7.
- [37] Burger R, Christian C. Access to health care in post-apartheid South Africa: availability, affordability, acceptability. Health Econ Policy Law 2018;1–13.
- [38] Boissin C, Wallis LA, Kleintjies W, Laflamme L. Admission factors associated with the in-hospital mortality of burns patients in resource-constrained settings: a two-year retrospective investigation in a South African adult burns centre. Burns 2019 in press.