SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Public engagement on tobacco legislation: An analysis of the public hearings on South Africa's Tobacco Products and Electronic Delivery Systems Control Bill

Leoné Walters, Carpenter Econn, Nicole Vellios, and Corné van Walbeek

August 2025

Table A1: Public hearings dates, locations, and attendees

Date	Province	Municipality	Attendees who gave oral presentations / total attendees ¹	
		Rustenburg Local Municipality		
18-20 August 2023	North West	City of Matlosana Local Municipality	101 / 934 = 10.8%	
		Mahikeng Local Municipality		
15 17 0 1		Makhado Local Municipality		
15–17 September	Limpopo	Greater Tzaneen Local Municipality	110 / 762 = 14.4%	
2023		Polokwane Local Municipality		
		Mbombela Local Municipality		
20–23 October	Mpumalanga	Msukaligwa Local Municipality	135 / 820 = 16.5%	
2023		Emalahleni Local Municipality		
24.26N 1		Lesedi Local Municipality		
24–26 November	Gauteng	West Rand District Municipality	106 / 1124 = 9.4%	
2023		City of Tshwane Metropolitan Municipality		
	Eastern Cape	Mnquma Local Municipality		
26–28 January 2024		Enoch Mgijima Local Municipality	139 / 809 = 17.2%	
•		Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality		
		Witzenberg Local Municipality		
9–11 February 2024	Western Cape	Drakenstein Local Municipality	75 / 455 = 16.5%	
Recordings unavailable online:				
28–29 October	E C4-4-	Moqhako Local Municipality	90 / 469 = 19.2%	
2023	Free State	Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality	90 / 409 = 19.2%	
			Total:	
			756 / 5373 = 14.1%	

¹ The total number of people who gave oral presentations is larger (n = 756) than the ones reported in our analysis (n = 606) because not all oral presentations were captured in the YouTube videos because of technical issues.

Public hearings not included in the Portfolio Committee on Health Report and unavailable online:				
1 – 3 November	Northern Cape	Sol Plaatje Local Municipality		
1 – 3 November 2024		Ga-Segonyana Local Municipality		
		Dawid Kruiper Municipality		
8-10 November 2024	KwaZulu- Natal	City of Umhlathuze		
		Msunduzi Local Municipality		
		Thekwini Metropolitan Municipality		
31 January 2025	Free State	Kopanong Local Municipality		
2 February 2025	Western Cape	George Local Municipality		

Source: Portfolio Committee on Health. 2024. 'Provincial Public Hearings Report on the Tobacco Products and Electronic Delivery Systems Bill [B33 - 2022]'. https://pmg.org.za/tabled-committee-report/5748/. Notes: The Committee reported that they received 756 oral submissions from a total of 5 373 members of the public. The two hearings in January and February 2025 were not included in the Committee's report, nor in this paper. The Committee did not include them because they had not happened yet; we did not include them because the recordings are unavailable.

Table A2: URLs for the 34 YouTube videos from which data was collected

Province	Public hearing	URL	
North West		https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D-bu3uBrjfQ	
	V lauksaduan	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jbky1bAQIWw	
	Klerksdrop	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sZ_k62_TpPo	
		https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UNZ9t8HTki8	
		https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j0CUUi6wH6Q	
	Makilaana	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MCE2vPAfwHw	
	Mahikeng	$https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q5xk4zwRf_0$	
		https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uHip5XzUW0Q	
		https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vHJO0k6Y430	
		https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cN4NcFamV6o	
	Rustenberg	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X_NYQw1dGfw	
		https://www.youtube.com/watch=X_NYQw1dGfw	
Limpopo	Makhado Local	https://www.youtube.com/watch?y=I-hnonmy/MMnO	
	Municipality	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LhnanmwMMpQ	
	PoloKwane	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fPAHEm-XI84&t=2685s	
	Tzaneen Greater	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-q-5iR700Xk&t=434s	
	Municipality	https://www.youtube.com/watch:v=-q=3ht/002ktet=4343	
Mpumalanga	Emalahleni	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8pU_beqYVdw	
	Linaramem	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JHUojBe8tzw&t=14s	
	Ermelo	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ikYwXCrDsKw	
	Efficio	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zeP5G9MSlj8	
		https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DY6SvMrL1mw	
	Mbombela	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RZuO0LjCYxg	
	Wioomocia	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UlPrM5O8th0&t=5s)	
		https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z0jwISBjonc&t=10s	
Gauteng	Lesedi	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ouWqMAUGnok	
	Tshwane	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dCNYlae6kEA	
	West Rand Region	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ltkXLtK5xAk	
Eastern Cape	Butterworth	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vGU2bWFTNqU	
	Dutterworth	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vXUmi_PwDD8	
	East London	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jwuY6nvJBh4	
	Last London	$https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rMPA_foWNVk$	
	Queenstown	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hUaVVoA6nwc	
Western Cape	Ceres	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=53bHrqLzdw0	
	CCICS	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6UWi8ekOa7U	
	Paarl	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UV0s5NczQFA	

Table A3: Identified organisation represented at the public hearings

Name of organisation	Desktop	In support of the Bill ²	
	verified		
African Centre for Tobacco Industry Monitoring and Policy	Yes	3 Yes	
Research at University of Pretoria			
African Harm Reduction Alliance	Yes	2 No	
African National Congress	Yes	6 Yes; 2 No	
African Transformation Movement	Yes	3 No; 1 unclear	
African Youth Development Fund ³	Yes	1 Yes	
Agricultural Rural Development	No	1 unclear	
Amathole Rapid Response Team	Yes	1 Yes	
Black Business Council	Yes	1 Yes	
Black Empowerment Forum	Yes	1 No	
Black Tobacco Farmers Association	Yes	2 No	
BRICS Association ⁴	No	1 Yes	
British American Tobacco	Yes	11 No	
Building Young Leaders	No	1 Yes	
Business Unity South Africa	Yes	1 No	
Cambridge - Local Community Media Forum	No	1 Yes	
Cancer Association of South Africa	Yes	16 Yes	
Cancer Organisation ⁵	No	4 Yes	
Cape Peninsula Informal Traders Forum	No	1 No	
Casino Association of South Africa	Yes	1 No	
Community Police Forum (by region)	No	1 Yes; 1 No	
Democratic Alliance	Yes	4 Yes; 5 No; 2 unclear	
Development Forum	Yes	1 Yes	
Disability Organisation	No	1 No	
Eastern Cape AIDS Council	Yes	1 Yes	
Eastern Cape Legal Forum	Yes	1 No	
Economic Freedom Fighters	Yes	1 No; 2 Yes; 1 unclear	
Expose South Africa	No	1 Yes	
Gauteng Traders Association	No	1 No	
Hawkers Association	No	2 No	
Health Forum (by region)	No	3 Yes	
Health Professions Council of South Africa ⁶	Yes	1 Yes	
Heart and Stroke Foundation	Yes	1 Yes	
International Revelation Congress	Yes	1 Yes	
International Tobacco Growers Association	Yes	1 No	
Japan Tobacco International	Yes	1 No	
Kayamandi Women and Children's Development Project	Yes	1 Yes	
Lesedi Black Business Forum	Yes	1 No	
Limpopo Tobacco Processors	Yes	6 No	

² 'Yes' means that participants were in support of the Bill even though they might have recommended some changes. 'No' means that participants were not in support of the Bill. 'Unclear' means that participants did not explicitly state whether they are in support of or against the Bill.

Also affiliated with the South African Tobacco Free Youth Forum.
 This could be the SA BRICS Youth Association, SA BRICS Think Tank, or the SA BRICS Business Council.

⁵ Not specified which cancer organisations.

⁶ Also affiliated with South African Medical Research Council.

MTP ⁷	No	1 No
National Council Against Smoking	Yes	7 Yes
NKA	No	3 No
Nu Vape	Yes	1 No
PCO ⁸	No	1 Yes
People's Health Forum	Yes	1 Yes
People's Health Movement	Yes	1 Yes
Philip Morris	Yes	1 No
Portfolio for Gender and Disability	No	1 Yes
Protect Our Next Campaign	Yes	11 Yes
Provincial Leadership of Student Command	No	1 Yes
Radio Workshop	Yes	1 No
Research Unit on the Economics of Excisable Products at	V	1 W
University of Cape Town	Yes	1 Yes
Rising Stars Generation	Yes	1 Yes
Side Five	No	5 Yes
Siegwerk Druckfarben AG & Co. KGaA	Yes	1 No
Soboko Community Forum	No	1 Yes
Social Development Men's Forum	Yes	1 Yes
South Africa Informal Traders Alliance	Yes	3 No
South African Allied Workers' Union	Yes	1 No
South African Federation of Trade Unions	Yes	1 Yes
South African Medical Research Council	Yes	19 Yes
South African National Aids Council Men's Sector	Yes	1 Yes
South African Spaza & Tuck Shop Association	Yes	4 No
South African Tobacco Free Youth Forum	Yes	34 Yes
South African Tobacco Transformation Alliance	Yes	1 No
South African Young Communist League	Yes	1 Yes; 1 No
South African Youth Conference	No	1 No
Southern Africa Youth Forum	Yes	1 Yes
Support Network	Yes	1 No
Tax Justice South Africa	Yes	1 unclear
Taxi Association	No	1 Yes
Tobacco Control Company	No	1 Yes
Tobacco Producers Development	No	1 unclear
TPT Company	No	1 No
Trader Association	No	1 No
Tsoga Foundation	No	1 No
Turn the Tide	Yes	1 Yes
University of Pretoria	Yes	1 Yes
University of Western Cape	Yes	1 No
Vapour Products Association of South Africa	Yes	1 No
Western Cape Informal Traders Coalition	Yes	1 No
Young Communist League of South Africa ⁹	Yes	2 Yes
Youth Crime Prevention Desk ¹⁰	Yes	4 Yes

 ⁷ Could be Manojo Tobacco Process.
 ⁸ Could be the Parliamentary Constituency Office of a specific political party.
 ⁹ Also affiliated with the South African Tobacco Free Youth Forum.
 ¹⁰ These three are also affiliated with the South African Tobacco Free Youth Forum.

Youth Dialogue Inde Media	Yes	2 Yes
Youth in Action	Yes	1 Yes

Table A3 lists the 85 organisations that participants reported working for, representing, or being affiliated with. We attempted to analyse how many of these stated organisations were in favour of and how many against the Bill. This was not possible for a few reasons: In many cases throughout the hearings, it was difficult to ascertain whether someone was an official representative demonstrating an official stance representative of the entire organisation or someone who worked within the organisation demonstrating their own opinion on the Bill using their organisation's name.

Some participants said they were 'with' a political organisation (e.g., the Democratic Alliance) but did not represent consistent rhetoric towards the Bill, and we could not ascertain participants' exact relationship to an organisation. In addition, we were unable to verify many of these organisations using desktop research (documented in the second column). Some have no digital presence. In other cases, it may be that the organisation's name was not captured accurately or individuals simply did not state if they were affiliated with any organisation. There was one report of a participant being paid to oppose the Bill, and in such instances, they may have purposely not disclosed the organisation's name (25).

Prominent among the supporters of the Bill was the South African Tobacco Free Youth Forum, which made 34 contributions across all recorded hearings. The Protect Our Next Campaign, a citizen initiative that champions support for the Bill, contributed 11 times, while the Cancer Association of SA (CANSA) contributed 16 times. The South African Medical Research Council (SAMRC) made at least 19 contributions.

Numerous other organisations voiced their opposition. British American Tobacco (BAT), contributed 11 times. Other major tobacco companies, such as Limpopo Tobacco Processers (LTP), and Japan Tobacco International, also participated. Besides the tobacco manufacturers, many industry representatives, trade organisations, and other entities opposed the Bill, including the Black Business Council and Black Empowerment Forum, and the South African Spaza and Tuck Shop Association (SASTA).

Table A4: Arguments made in opposition of the Bill

Categories/Identifiers	Frequency	Percentage	Key points
Economic	222	41.6%	by 129 men, 61 women
Individual economic effects	104	19.5%	Potential job loss; negative impact on family support; difficulties for small traders; concerns about government job provision
Macro-economic effects	82	15.4%	Negative impact on overall economy; fewer jobs; lower wages, increased crime, tobacco industry collapse; loss of tax revenue; taxpayer money could be spent better
Youth employment and community resources	15	2.8%	SA faces skills gap problem; tobacco industry provides opportunities for youth (educated and uneducated); tobacco supports communities through funding and resources; potential loss of community benefits
Disproportionate impact on vulnerable populations	21	3.9%	Harm to poor and marginalised groups who rely on tobacco industry; financial loss for tobacco workers' minimal impact on wealthy companies
Health	58	10.8%	by 31 men, 26 women
Lack of harm reduction options	32	6.0%	Electronic delivery systems (EDS) assist in cessation of cigarettes; EDS reduce harm; lower health costs; provide information on risks; allow medical access; Bill will hinder these benefits and restrict medical information
Overstated effects	7	1.3%	Exaggerated or false health risks related to tobacco
Economic consequences leading to health issues	6	1.1%	Negative economic consequences will cause health problems from hunger, depression, crime, drug use, and abuse
Benefits of consumption	13	2.4%	Tobacco use provides health benefits for mental disorders and stress relief; tobacco used as a coping mechanism
Traditional	29	5.4%	by 18 men, 11 women
Undermines Tradition	29	5.4%	Infringement on traditional practices; destruction/criminalisation of cultural identity
Society	85	15.9%	by 52 men, 33 women
Increases societal problems	13	2.4%	Inequality, crime, unemployment will increase
Inhibits personal freedoms	72	13.5%	Smokers' freedoms will be restricted; the legislation is labelled as draconian; will criminalise smokers; can be used as a tool to further police and criminalise Black communities who smoke the most
Illicit Trade	74	13.9%	by 54 men,18 women
Increase in illicit trade (cost and accessibility)	55	10.3%	Legal cigarettes will become expensive and hard to buy; preference for cheaper illicit cigarettes; plain packaging facilitates counterfeiting; health risks from harmful substances
Economic and social detriment	19	3.6%	The lack of a solution to combat illicit trade will destroy the legal tobacco industry leading to job losses and reduced tax revenue; greater need to focus on curbing illicit trade
Electronic delivery systems	65	12.2%	by 35 men, 20 women
Lack of differentiation, harm reduction	65	12.2%	The bill treats vaping and smoking as the same despite different health impacts and risks; nicotine is not necessarily toxic as the Bill claims; calls for risk-proportionate regulation
Environmental	1	0.2%	by 1 man, 0 women
No environmental harm	1	0.2%	No wood curing or evidence of green tobacco sickness or soil depletion in SA
Total	534	100%	

Table A5: Arguments made in support of the Bill

Categories/Identifiers	Frequency	Percentage	Key Points
Economic	80	13.5%	by 30 men, 40 women
Burden of smoking	42	7.1%	Tobacco places a financial burden on economy; smoking-related illnesses cause higher health care costs; reduced productivity; workplace issues; economic benefits of reducing smoking outweigh tax revenue
Economic transition and job stability	28	4.7%	The Bill will not harm jobs; tobacco industry is shrinking already; wealth disparity in the industry does not make it viable for poor people as a means of employment; growth of sustainable industries will increase
Burden on healthcare system	10	1.7%	Fewer smokers; reduced strain on healthcare system; improved resource allocation to treat patients
Health	275	46.5%	by 91 men, 128 women
Health hazards of smoking	131	22.1%	Reduction in smoking-related diseases and deaths; prevention of health problems from second- and third-hand smoke; reduced tobacco-related crime and health issues among tobacco industry workers
Protection of children and future generations	144	24.3%	Preventing children from smoking; addressing health and mental issues in young smokers; ensuring a healthier future generation
Traditional	6	1.0%	by 4 men, 2 women
Does not hurt tradition	6	1.0%	Preservation of tradition; regulation is respectful of tradition
Society	141	23.8%	by 40 men, 84 women
Prevention of drug use	37	6.3%	Gateway effect from smoking into harder drugs and substances; societal harm from drug addiction; increased crime and violence in impoverished communities as side effect from increased drug use
Educational and regulatory provisions	59	10.0%	Measures like plain packaging and advertising bans educate people on the harmful effects of smoking and prevent youth from smoking, provides support for those who are quitting
Economic and social impact of addiction	26	4.4%	Prioritisation of smoking over essential needs; poor Black communities who smoke more are disproportionately affected by the negative effects; Bill will limit the tobacco industry's influence on children to start them smoking
Non-smokers' rights	19	3.2%	Ensuring fundamental rights to health and a healthy environment; protection of clean air
Illicit Trade	10	1.7%	by 6 men, 2 women
Existing illicit trade dynamics	8	1.4%	Illicit trade already exists, Bill will not worsen it; local producers and manufacturers are to blame; top executives benefit the most; small role of foreigners
Curative measures	2	0.3%	Illicit trade can be curtailed by track and trace system, stronger enforcement, better regulatory frameworks, and increased penalties; not passing the Bill will not solve illicit trade
Electronic delivery systems	67	11.3%	by 24 men, 37 women
Health concerns and gateway	26	4.4%	Imperfect information about health risks and potential harm from vaping; gateway to smoking
Targeting and impact on youth	30	5.1%	Marketing strategies are used by big tobacco and vaping industry to appeal to the youth and recruit new smokers; ease of addiction for children
Need for regulation	11	1.9%	Lack of regulation on EDS; Bill aims to regulate unchecked use; necessity of proper oversight
Environmental	13	2.2%	by 5 men, 8 women
Reducing tobacco production	13	2.2%	Harmful impact of tobacco production and use on the environment; reduction of soil in tobacco farming; water pollution; deforestation; promotion of biodiversity and natural resources; opportunity for sustainable practices
Total	592	100%	

 Table A6: Select quotes from respondents

Main arguments	Against or in support of Bill	Quotes
Economic effect		A member of the National Informal Traders Association of South Africa in Butterworth, Eastern Cape said: "I strongly reject the bill…because the tobacco we sell in our stands puts bread on the table for our families… We, as informal traders, employ people to sell in different places, and their incomes come from the selling of tobacco…" (#516).
	Against	BAT worker in Heidelberg said: "The reduction of legal cigarette demand directly impacts the entire Lesedi community. Our workforce, once over a thousand strong, is now 273. We used to have 2000 contractors now its 700 BAT commissioned a study where we assessed the full economic impact of this bill Our factory directly and indirectly supports more than 3800 jobs and more than 31 000 jobs across the value chain of SA. Our factory contributed more than R42 million to the Lesedi municipality alone. This number is likely to decline because illicit trade is declining our share of the marketThe economic health of thousands in Lesedi will be affected." (#183).
	In support	A volunteer at the Cancer Association of South Africa (CANSA) in Gauteng said: "The tobacco industry is very quick to point out that it contributes about R12 billion in taxes to the country's GDP, but the question that I have is this: At what cost? The very same tobacco industry costs the economy R42 billion in tobacco-related illnesses, loss of productivity, as well as premature deaths. How then can we say that the tobacco industry is beneficial to society?" (#213).
Health considerations		A member of the Gugulethu Health Forum in the Western Cape said: "my father died of gangrene caused from tobacco and as a result [of his smoking] I live with a pump, everywhere I go, I must have my pump with me which a result of being a second-hand smoker, so I support this bill" (#454).
	In support	A specialist family physician at Ceres District Hospital, Western Cape said: " we suffer at the district hospitals with multiple tobacco-related admissions. We see children with chronic respiratory tract infections and young individuals who die at 30 and 40, due to chronic lung disease. For adults, smoking is a risk factor for all cancers, all cardiovascular disease, and chronic illnessesOur respiratory rooms are flooded with smoking patients that require oxygen." (#415).
		A life skills program facilitator at schools in Rustenburg said: "Taking control of tobacco means taking control of our health and our future we want to create a smoke-free generation. According to research, 12% of young people aged 15-24

		currently use tobacco products and 90% of adults started using tobacco products under the age of 18It is not normal for young people to consume these products and if this Bill is passed, it will also speak to that" (#20).
Traditional values	Against	A concerned community member of Makhado stated: "your Bill says that we cannot perform our rituals as Africans when we use tobacco leaf to perform our rituals. Is that what you are saying to our people? This is directly infringing on our rights as human beings and directly infringes our basic human rights and fundamental rights, rights to religious practices" (#105).
	In support	A scientist at the SAMRC noted: "Snuff plays a very important role in African traditional healing. Our traditional healers, when they use snuff, use it correctly. We have discovered that there are those who are using this tobacco product in a manner that is inappropriate and that puts them at risk… This Bill is not against the use of traditional snuff in a traditional manner. Rather, it will protect people who use it in the proper manner." (#278).
Societal implications		A district councillor of Heidelberg said: "The freedom that a free society, open society, and democracy is supposed to have on a daily basis is going to be severely hampered if the Bill is passed" (#177).
	Against	A participant in the Tshwane municipality said: "Making smoking a crime will stigmatise people who smoke. Shaming people who smoke does not help them stop smoking. It pressures them to hide the fact that they are smoking instead of finding ways to stop the smoking It is better that you put us in jail, just like in apartheid. In Covid times, people were strictly prohibited from smoking and drinking, but we, as Black people, continued" (#245).
	In support	A masters student who works at the SAMRC in Klerksdorp said: "colourful packages of hookah flavours, electronic cigarettes, and LED lights are used to get attention for these products the youth are the attracted audience cigarettes and other tobacco products are placed next to sweets and chips these products should be removed from eye level placement let's protect our children, our youth, and preserve the future." (#73)
		A grandmother of the Gugulethu Health Forum said: "Tobacco brings a lot of poverty. Most of our people are addicted to cigarettes. They spend more money on tobacco instead of buying food even when their families are hungry The government gives us R350 to help support us, but I heard over the radio that men who receive the 350 use the money to buy cigarettes. Tobacco is robbing families' food and is robbing the country." (#440).
Illicit trade	Against	A tobacco industry representative from Rustenburg stated: "How do we impose a Bill like this in a market where 65-70% of the market is illegal? The illicit operators and cigarette mafia bosses in the illegal industry are loving this Bill, because it gives them another opportunity not to comply, make even more money, and sell even more cigarettes. The legal value chain is shrinking and shrinking. In the end, you have a market where consumption is increasing and the legal industry that provides

		jobs, taxes, and income is gone. We have very good legislation on tobacco at the moment. The current act is not even enforced.
		How do we implement new legislation which is ten times more draconian, when a department is not interested or willing, or
		has the knowledge to enforce their own legislation, and think we are going to reduce consumption" (#39).
		A member of the South African Tobacco Free Youth Forum claimed: "Illicit cigarettes are flooding our community through
		the same legal industry that claims they are losing profits because of illicit cigarettes The tobacco industry underdeclared
	In support	their production. They produce more than what they declare to the authorities, and these cigarettes are sold in SA without
		paying excise duties and VAT We also identify 'Ghost Exports' this means that manufacturers declare some products for
		export, which exempts them from excise duties and VAT. These products are diverted back to SA and do not pay tax; they are
		illicit cigarettes" (#326).
Electronic		An ophthalmologist and harm reduction advocate from eMalahleni stated that he encourages existing smokers to quit, but for
Delivery Systems	Against	those who struggle to quit: "it is our ethical duty as medical practitioners to point patients to less harmful alternatives. A Bill
		that does not make the differentiation is not only promoting misinformation, but it is promoting harm to those we know that if
		they stay on combustibles, one in two of them will be killed by the product" (#391).
Environmental		According to the South Africa Tobacco Free Youth Forum: "Tobacco farming has a wide range of negative impacts on the
impacts	T.,	environment. Tobacco farming requires large amounts of water. Curing tobacco leaves leads to large-scale deforestation:
	In support	600 million trees are cut down to make 6 trillion cigarettes every year globallyThis releases carbon dioxide into the
		atmosphere and contributes to global climate change" (#405). It was argued that resources currently used to grow tobacco
		could be better utilised to address food insecurity and promote sustainable land development.